

BANWELL PRIMARY SCHOOL Belong Believe Achieve

2017/18 OUTCOMES

HEADLINE FIGURES

<u>EYFS</u>

• ATTAINMENT. Percentages show numbers achieving a Good Level of Development (GLD) at the end of Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS.) A GLD is awarded when a child has scored 2s (expected) and 3s (exceeding) in the first 12 Early learning Goals (ELGs.) If a 1 (emerging) is awarded for any of the first 12 ELGs a GLD will not be awarded.

| | Cohort | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Girls | Boys |
|----------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Key Info | 29 | 3 | 26 | 15 | 14 |
| | 3.4% each | 33% each | 3.8% each | 6.7% each | 7.1% each |
| 2018 | 76% | 33% | 81% | 80% | 71% |
| 2017 | 80% (70.7% Nat) | 67% | 84% | 77% | 83% |
| 2016 | 70% (69% Nat) | 57% | 75% | 85% | 57% |
| 2015 | 64% (66%) | 43% | 76% | 67% | 53% |

• **PROGRESS.** Percentages show number of children in each progress band.

| 2018 | Inadequate | Not Enough | Steady / Typical | Rapid Progress | More Than |
|--------------|---------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| | Progress | Progress | Progress | (4 bands | Rapid Progress |
| | (no progress) | (2 bands | (3 bands | progress) | (5 bands |
| | | progress) | progress) | | progress) |
| Reading | 0% | 0% | 14% | 40% | 48% |
| Writing | 0% | 0% | 34% | 38% | 28% |
| Numbers | 0% | 0% | 14% | 41% | 45% |
| Shape, Space | 0% | 0% | 7% | 66% | 24% |
| and measures | | | | | |

EYFS HEADLINES:

- The number of children achieving a GLD at the end of their reception year has dipped ever so slightly this year. Despite this it is still an improving trend and is likely to be above national (70.7% in 2017)
- Progress measures show impressive rates of progress with a significant majority making rapid or better progress.
- Whilst the pupil premium figure looks low it is important to remember that this is a cohort of 3. One of the two children that did not get the GLD in the PP cohort joined Banwell part way through the year from another school and has significant health issues which impact on attendance (currently 85%).

PHONIC SCREENING (Y1)

• Percentages show the numbers who have at least met the threshold for the Phonic Screening. The threshold this year continued to be 32.

| | Cohort | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Girls | Boys |
|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Key Info | 22 | 5 | 17 | 10 | 12 |
| | (4.5% each) | (20% each) | (5.9% each) | (10% each) | (8.3% each) |
| 2018 | 86% (82% Nat) | 80% (70% Nat) | 88% (84% Nat) | 90% (86% Nat) | 83% (79% Nat) |
| 2017 | 74% (<mark>80%</mark>) (81% | 80% (68% Nat) | 73% (<mark>80%</mark>) (84% | 83% | 67% (<mark>77%</mark>) |
| | Nat) | | Nat) | | |
| 2016 | 67% (81% Nat) | 43% | 74% | 80% | 53% |
| 2015 | 70% (77% Nat) | 83% | 67% | 57% | 75% |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Y1 PHONICS HEADLINES

- This year's data shows yet again an improving picture with the vast majority of children reaching the expected standard. These figures are likely to exceed national.
- (The 2017 cohort on the table above contained 2 children in receipt of Top Up Funding for their significant SEN. They both require full time 1:1 support. The red figure shows the percentage with these 2 children removed from the data)

KS1 OUTCOMES

- Percentages show the numbers working at at least the expected standard for end of KS1.
- The cohort contains 2 children in receipt of Top Up Funding for their significant SEN. They both require full time 1:1 support. The figure in brackets shows the percentage with these 2 children removed from the data set.
- Green highlighting = more than Nat / in line with Nat (< 1 child away)
- Orange Highlighting = (1 child away from Nat)
- Red highlighting = > 1 child away from Nat)

| READING | Cohort | National | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Girls | Boys |
|----------|--------------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Key Info | 26 | | 5 | 21 | 11 | 15 |
| | (3.8% each) | | (20% each) | (4.8% each) | (9% each) | (6.7% each) |
| 2018 | 69% (75%) | 75% | 80% | 67% (74%) | 73% | 67% (77%) |
| | <mark>= Nat</mark> | | 60% Nat | 78% Nat | 80% Nat | 71% Nat |
| | | | | | | |
| 2017 | 57% | 76% | 50% | 58% | 67% | 47% |
| | -19% Nat | | | | | |
| 2016 | 67% | 74% | 50% | 73% | 63% | 68% |
| (30 ch) | (-7% Nat) | | | | | |

| WRITING | Cohort | National | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Girls | Boys |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Key Info | 26 (3.8% each) | | 5 (20% each) | 21 (4.8% each) | 11 (9% each) | 15 (6.7% eah) |
| 2018 | 50% (54%) -16% Nat | 70% | 60% 53% Nat | 48% 73% Nat | 73% 77% Nat | 33% (38%) 63% Nat |
| 2017 | 33% <mark>-35% Nat</mark> | 68% | 0% | 42% | 47% | 20% |
| 2016 (30 ch) | 50% <mark>(-15% Nat)</mark> | 65% | 38% | 55% | 50% | 50% |

| MATHS | Cohort | National | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Girls | Boys |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Key Info | 26 (3.8% each) | | 5 (20% each) | 21 (4.8% each) | 11 (9% each) | 15 (6.7% each) |
| 2018 | 69% (75%) <mark>= Nat</mark> | 76% | 60% 61% Nat | 62% (68%) 79% Nat | 73% 77% Nat | 67% (77%) 75% Nat |
| 2017 | 53% - <mark>22% Nat</mark> | 75% | 33% | 58% | 87% | 47% |
| 2016 (30 ch) | 67% <mark>(-6% Nat)</mark> | 73% | 50% | 73% | 50% | 50% |

KS1 HEADLINES

- Outcomes at the end of Key Stage 1 have shown an improvement on last year but continue to be an area for development within the school.
- Attainment in reading and maths (with the 2 children removed) is in line with last year's national figure.
- Writing continues to be a significant area for development, especially for the boys in this cohort.

KS2 SAT OUTCOMES 2018

- Percentages show the numbers working at the expected standard for end of KS2.
- The cohort data below contains 2 children (both boys) who did not take the SAT tests. One of these children has an Education Health Care Plan (EHCP) and is in receipt of Top Up Funding. The other child was absent from school for the whole week. Based on teacher assessments the school believe that he would have met the expected standard in all tests. The figure in brackets therefore shows the percentage with these 2 children removed from the data set.
- Green highlighting = more than Nat / in line with Nat (< 1 child away)
- Orange Highlighting = (1 child away from Nat)
- Red highlighting = > 1 child away from Nat)

| READING | Cohort | National | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Girls | Boys |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Key Info | 25 (4% each) | | 13 (7.7 each) | 12 (8.3% each) | 14 (7.1% each) | 11 (9 % each) |
| 2018 (25 ch) | 80% (87%) <mark>(+5% Nat)</mark> | 75% | 69% | 92% | 86% | 73% (89%) |
| 2017 (29 ch) | 69% <mark>(-2% Nat)</mark> | 71% | 50% | 82% | 62% | 69% |
| 2016 (30 ch) | 60% <mark>(-6% Nat)</mark> | 66% | 50% | 67% | 58% | 61% |

| WRITING (TA) | Cohort | National | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Girls | Boys |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | 25 (4% each) | | 13 (7.7 each) | 12 (8.3% each) | 14 (7.1% each) | 11 (9 % each) |
| 2018 (25 ch) | 72% <mark>(-6% Nat)</mark> | 78% | 46% | 100% | 86% | 55% |
| 2017 (29 ch) | 72% <mark>(-4% Nat)</mark> | 76% | 58% | 82% | 77% | 68% |
| 2016 (30 ch) | 73% <mark>(-1% Nat)</mark> | 74% | 50% | 89% | 83% | 67% |

| EGPS | Cohort | National | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Girls | Boys |
|----------|------------------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|
| Key Info | 25 | | 13 | 12 | 14 | 11 |
| | (4% each) | | (7.7 each) | (8.3% each) | (7.1% each) | (9 % each) |
| 2018 | 76% (83%) | 78% | 62% | 92 % | 86% | 64% |
| (25 ch) | <mark>(-2% Nat)</mark> | | | | | (78%) |
| 2017 | 69% | 77% | 58% | 76% | 69% | 69% |
| (29 ch) | (-8% Nat) | | | | | |
| 2016 | 60% | 72% | 42% | 72% | 67% | 50% |
| (30 ch) | (-12% Nat) | | | | | |

| MATHS | Cohort | National | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Girls | Boys |
|----------|----------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Key Info | 25 | | 13 (7.7.5 mah) | 12 (0.2% arrah) | 14 (7.1% a sab) | 11 (0 % arch) |
| | (4% each) | | (7.7 each) | (8.3% each) | (7.1% each) | (9 % each) |
| 2018 | 76% (83%) | 76% | 62% | 92 % | 86% | 64% |
| (25 ch) | <mark>(= Nat)</mark> | | | | | (78%) |
| 2017 | 79% | 75% | 67% | 88% | 69% | 88% |
| (29 ch) | (+4% Nat) | | | | | |
| 2016 | 73% | 70% | 58% | 83% | 83% | 89% |
| (30 ch) | (+ 3% Nat) | | | | | |

| COMBINED (R,W & M) | Cohort | National | Pupil Premium | Non Pupil Premium | Girls | Boys |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|
| Key Info | 25 | | 13 | 12 | 14 | 11 |
| | (4% each) | | (7.7 each) | (8.3% each) | (7.1% each) | (9 % each) |
| 2018 | 64% (70%) | 64% | 38% | 92 % | 79% | 45% |
| (25 ch) | (= Nat) | | | | | (56%) |
| 2017 | 59% | 61% | 42% | 71% | 62% | 56% |
| (29 ch) | (-2% Nat) | | | | | |
| 2016 | 47% | 53% | 25% | 61% | 58% | 39% |
| (30 ch) | (-6% Nat) | | | | | |

• PERCENTAGE OF PUPILS ACHIEVING HIGHER STANDARD

| READING | Cohort | National | | WRITING |
|---------|--------|----------|---|---------|
| 2018 | 28% | 28% | | 2018 |
| 2017 | 24% | 25% | | 2017 |
| 2016 | 10% | 19% | _ | 2016 |
| | | | | |

| WRITING | Cohort | National | | |
|---------|--------|----------|--|--|
| 2018 | 12% | 20% | | |
| 2017 | 14% | 18% | | |
| 2016 | 10% | 15% | | |

| MATHS | Cohort | National | | |
|-------|--------|----------|--|--|
| 2018 | 16% | 24% | | |
| 2017 | 17% | 23% | | |
| 2016 | 7% | 17% | | |

| EGPS | Cohort | National 34% | | |
|------|--------|-----------------|--|--|
| 2018 | 16% | | | |
| 2017 | 28% | 31% | | |
| 2016 | 17% | 22% | | |

| | Reading | Writing | Maths | | Reading | EGPS | Maths |
|------|---------|---------|-------|------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 2018 | 0.6 | -1.6 | 0.1 | 2018 | 105 (105 Nat) | 105 (106 Nat) | 104 (104 Nat) |
| 2017 | 0.9 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 2017 | 105 (104 Nat) | 105 (106 Nat) | 103 (104 Nat) |
| 2016 | 0.86 | 1.31 | 1.52 | 2016 | 101.4 (102.6 Nat) | 101.4 (104.0 Nat) | 102.7 (103.0 Nat) |

KS2 HEADLINES:

- With the 2 children removed who did not take the SATs our data is very impressive:
 - 87% Reading
 - 83% EGPS
 - 83% Maths
 - 72% Writing (TA so all children included)
 - o 70% Combined

This is the best set of data that Banwell has had which is a credit to the children and the team.

- Outcomes for **reading** show a very impressive picture this year. The school has achieved well above the national average for the first time ever.
- Outcomes for **writing** (teacher assessed) were the same as last year and have moved ever so slightly further away from national.
- Outcomes for **EGPS** have continued to improve this year with the school being less than one child away from national. 3 children who did not achieve the expected standard (a scaled score of 100) achieved a scaled score of 99.
- Outcomes for **maths** have dipped ever so slightly from last year but are still in line with national. Two children who did not achieve the expected standard (a scaled score of 100) achieved a scaled score of 99.
- **Combined** outcomes are now in line with national which is a first for Banwell!
- Outcomes for children who are not in receipt of Pupil Premium are as good as 100%. The only reason they are not 100% is because of the child who was absent.
- Progress measures are not yet available but are expected to be good.
- The key area for concern continues to be the outcomes for Pupil Premium children. There continues to be a gap between their attainment and that of the non pupil premium children. As a school it is paramount that we reflect and amend what we are doing so that these children are able to achieve at the expected level. With a Pupil Support Team now well established we must consider how best to use staff to achieve the intended outcome.

KEY HEADLINES FROM THIS YEARS DATA:

- This year's data shows a very pleasing picture!
- Outcomes from EYFS continue to be good. Whilst there is a slight dip this year outcomes are still likely to be above national. Progress measures are outstanding.
- Outcomes from Y1 Phonic Screening are good. For the first time ever Banwell is likely to be above national for this data set.
- Outcomes for KS1 have shown a significant improvement this year. Using the figure with 2 high level SEN children removed, Banwell is likely to be broadly in line with national for reading and maths. Writing continues to need to be a significant focus.
- SATs outcomes for KS2 (with the 2 children removed from the data set) are above national in all areas.
- Key areas for the school to work on are writing and achievement of Pupil Premium children.